

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigania 22313-1450 www.mpto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,867	04/20/2001	Debra Sue Caswell	8079M	3296
27752	7590 09/25/2003			11
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER	
			HARDEE, JOHN R	
	ER HILL AVENUE II, OH 45224		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
CHICHIAN	1, 011 13221		1751	
			DATE MAILED: 09/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$W \sim$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/838,867	CASWELL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John R Hardee	1751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communic NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under background bisposition of Claims	•	•	rits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-109 and 111-128</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-104 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>105-109 and 111-128</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		•
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	ted or b) objected to by the Ex	caminer.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- : :		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		proved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the company of the priori 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	ı
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	e) (to a provisional appli	cation).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/838,867 Page 2

Art Unit: 1751

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group XII in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that search of additional inventions, I-XI generally and I, II, III and XI in particular, would not be burdensome. This is not found persuasive because search and examination of five independent and distinct inventions, much less twelve, is burdensome on its face.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 105, 106, 109, 111-117, 120-122, 126 and 127 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by EP 812,808 A1. See table and examples.
- 4. Claims 105, 109, 111-122, 124 and 125 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 99/35234. The reference is in German. Reference is made to column and line in the equivalent Haerer et al., US 6,410,500 B1. See examples. Dehypon LS 54 is an ethoxylated and propoxylated alcohol.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/838,867

Art Unit: 1751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 105-109, 111-118, 120-123 and 125-127 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 5. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 812,808 A1. The reference discloses water softening tablets comprising 10-70% of a polyfunctional carboxylic acid or salt thereof, 15-45% by weight of carbonate or bicarbonate, 1-6% of a binder, 2-19% of polymer, 0-45% of layered silicate, 0-15% of disintegrating agent and 0-5% of precipitation inhibitor (abstract). As detergents and fabric softeners are not disclosed, it would be obvious to exclude them. Tablets of 20 g are disclosed, but in view of the general disclosure of "tablets", and no specific teachings regarding size or weight, the examiner takes the position that the production of tablets as small as aspirin tablets would be obvious. Free water appears to be absent from the formulations. Precipitation inhibitors are optional, so making the tablet free of such materials with MW of greater than 2000 would be obvious. In addition, polymers of MW less than 2000 are taught to be useful (p. 3, lines 28+). Bleach actives are optional. Polyethylene glycol is taught to be a suitable ingredient (p. 3, lines 28+), and is known in the surfactant art to act as an emulsifier. The carbonate or bicarbonate is taught to act as a builder (p. 3, line 25). Use of starch derivatives and clays are taught at p. 4, lines 14+. Uptake of atmospheric moisture is taught to be low, implying some sort of moisture barrier although the application of an actual barrier coating is not disclosed. Disclosed dissolution rates appear to meet the limitations of claims 126 and 127. This reference differs from the claimed subject matter in that it does not disclose a composition which reads on all of applicant's claims with sufficient specificity to constitute anticipation.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,867

Art Unit: 1751

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to make such a composition, because this reference teaches that all of the ingredients recited by applicants are suitable for inclusion in a water softening tablet. The person of ordinary skill in the surfactant art would expect the recited compositions to have properties similar to those compositions which are exemplified, absent a showing to the contrary.

In the case where the claimed ranges overlap or lie inside ranges disclosed by the prior art, a *prima facie* case of obviousness exists. *In re Wertheim*, 541 F.2d 257, 191 USPQ 90 (CCPA 1976); *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed Cir. 1990).

6. Claims 105-109, 111-125 and 128 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 99/35234. The reference is in German. Reference is made to column and line in the equivalent Haerer et al., US 6,410,500 B1. The reference discloses molded body detergent compositions for dishwashers which contain soil release polymers. Disintegrants, including combinations of weak acids and carbonates, may be added (col. 4, lines 15+). The shape of the molded bodies is not important, but one dimension of at least 5 mm is preferred for practicality (col. 4, lines 59+). Surfactant may be present at 0-5%; 2% is exemplified (Table, col. 14). Tablets are preferably of 15-60 g, but the examiner takes the position that production of smaller tablets would be obvious in view of the general disclosure of "tablets". Free water appears to be absent from the formulations. Use of anti-encrustation or suspending polymers is not disclosed. Use of bleach is preferred, but a composition free of bleach is exemplified (Vd4, col. 15). Use of polyethylene glycol is disclosed at the top of col. 7. Use of phosphate builder

Application/Control Number: 09/838,867

Art Unit: 1751

at >15% is exemplified throughout. Ethoxylated alcohols may be added (col. 12, lines 52+). Addition of starch and its derivatives is disclosed at col. 4, lines 10+; addition of zeolites is disclosed at col. 12, line 22. Use of a wax coating on agglomerated particles is disclosed in cols. 7 and 8. This would serve as a moisture barrier. Different ingredients may be of different colors (col. 5, lines 38+). This reference differs from the claimed subject matter in that it does not disclose a composition which reads on all of applicant's claims with sufficient specificity to constitute anticipation.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to make such a composition, because this reference teaches that all of the ingredients recited by applicants are suitable for inclusion in a water softening tablet. The person of ordinary skill in the surfactant art would expect the recited compositions to have properties similar to those compositions which are exemplified, absent a showing to the contrary.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed September 2, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that there is no teaching of the absence of an anti-encrustation or suspending polymer in the cited references. This is not persuasive because it is obvious to exclude an ingredient which is not mentioned in the prior art; it is obvious to exclude an ingredient which is taught to be optional; and it is obvious to exclude an ingredient where compositions free of that ingredient are exemplified. There need not be an explicit teaching in the prior art that such polymers must not be present.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/838,867

Art Unit: 1751

Regarding the '234 reference, applicant's arguments regarding "well mixed" compositions are well taken, but applicant's claim language, as newly amended, does not overcome the reference. Applicant's recited article comprises a composition, and that composition must be well mixed, although the article as a whole need not be. The middle zone of the prior art article is presumably well mixed, even though its composition is not reflective of the composition as a whole.

Regarding the recitation of "well mixed", the examiner would appreciate it if applicant were to point out the basis for this language.

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to the examiner, Dr. John R. Hardee, whose telephone

number is (703) 305-5599. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 until 4:30. In the event that the examiner is not available, his supervisor, Dr. Yogendra Gupta, may be reached at (703) 308-4708.

10. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

John R. Hardee
Primary Examiner

September 22, 2003

Hardei